

COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Ms. Sonia
Civil Judge (Junior Division) Hisar

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

(1) Sumitra W/o Satbir Singh S/o Jaimal (2) Ajay minor son of Satbir Singh (3) Sanjay minor son of Satbir Singh, minors through their mother and natural guardian Sumitra W/o Satbir Singh All residents of Geeta Colony, Azad Nagar, Hisar Tehsil and Distt. Hisar

Plaintiffs

Versus

(1) General Public/Relatives

(2) Ranbir Singh S/o Jaimal S/o Jetram

(3) Santro Devi D/o Jaimal S/o Jetram

(4) Radha Devi D/o Jaimal S/o Jetram

(5) Bhateri Devi D/o Jaimal S/o Jetram

(6) Anguri Devi D/o Jaimal S/o Jetram


All residents of Geeta Colony, Azad Nagar, Hisar, Tehsil and Distt. Hisar

Defendants

Suit for Declaration to the effect that Satbir Singh S/o Jaimal R/o Geeta Colony, Hisar who was husband of the plaintiff No.1 and father of the plaintiff No.2 and 3 has not been heard alive since 24.12.2014 that is for more than 7 years by the persons who would have naturally heard of him if he had been alive and as such he be deemed to have died a civil death in view of the provision contained in section 108 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 (as amended upto date) and that the plaintiffs are the legal heirs being wife, sons of Sh. Satbir Singh and House, bounded and dimension as East-Danda 50', West-Danda 50', North-Danda 17'-3" and South-Danda 17'-3" Khasra Nos. 21/24/1(4-0) being 3/80 share in area 3 Marle, situated at VPO Gangwa, Tehsil and Distt. Hisar in which the mother-in-law of the plaintiff NO.1 namely Birma Devi was owner in possession of the said house vide sale Deed No.4382 dated 05.10.2001 but she was expired on 23.08.2021. After her death the plaintiffs became the co-owner of the said house in question. After her death the husband of the plaintiff No.1 and the defendants No.2 to 6 were

CNR No. HRHS02-002082-2022

Next Date:- 21-01-2023



owner in possession over the said house in question vide Mutation No.20027 dated 21.05.2022 and now the plaintiffs are became owners in possession over the plot/house in question as per oral family settlement and further for consequential relief the defendants No.2 to 6 restrained not to interfere in peaceful possession over the plot/house in question and further the defendants No.2 to 6 restraining to sell, transferring and mortgaging the said plot/house in question in any manner and the defendants No.2 to 6 are directing to enter the name of the plaintiffs in the revenue record and other Govt. record regarding the said plot/house in question, under the provision of the Specific Relief Act, on the basis of evidence & documentary of all kind.

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

General Public

To,

The Manager
Dainik Chetna Newspaper,
Bhiwani

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **21-01-2023 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **02-01-2023**.



Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Hisar