

PUBLICATION NOTICE TO DEFENDANT UNDER ORDER 5 RULE 20 CPC

Parmpal Singh son of Sh. Raj Bahadur Singh, aged 63 years, resident of Village Tharaj Wala, District Muktsar (Punjab)

----- **Plaintiff**

VERSUS

1. Vikramaditya son of Sh. Surender Kumar son of Sh. Nathu Ram Resident of Village Siswal, Tehsil Adampur, District Hisar, 2. Banwari Lal son of Sh. Lal Chand son of Sh. Pari Mal, resident of Village Tapakhera, District Muktsar (punjab), 3. Surender Kumar son of Sh. Nathu Ram, resident of Village Siswal, Tehsil Mandi Adampur, District Hisar, 4. Smt. Paramjit Kaur widow, 5. Navjot Singh son, 6. Ms. Rupali daughter of late Sh. Kewalpal Singh son of Sh. Raj Bahadur Singh, 7. Rajesh son of late Sh. Nirpal son of Sh. Lal Bahadur Singh, 8. Gurpal singh son of Sh. Raj Bahadur Singh Resident of Village Abbul Khurana Tehsil Malot Distt. Mukatsar (Punjab)

-----**Defendants**

HRHS01-000026-2017

CS/18/2017

03-01-2017

Peshi: 05-04-2018

Notice to Defendants no. 4 to 8

4. Smt. Paramjit Kaur widow, 5. Navjot Singh son, 6. Ms. Rupali daughter of late Sh. Kewalpal Singh son of Sh. Raj Bahadur Singh, 7. Rajesh son of late Sh. Nirpal son of Sh. Lal Bahadur Singh, 8. Gurpal singh son of Sh. Raj Bahadur Singh Resident of Village Abbul Khurana Tehsil Malot Distt. Mukatsar (Punjab)

Suit seeking decree for declaration to the effect that A) the plaintiff and proforma defendants are owners in possession of land comprised in khasra No. 156//1 to 9, 12 to 25 (8-0) each 186//1,2,10,11(8-0) each, total measuring 216K-0M situated at Village Siswal, Tehsil Adampur, Distt. Hisar as per fard jamabandi for the year 1980-1981 B) transfer of aforesaid land in favour of defendants vide alleged decree dated 18.05.1985 passed in civil suit No. 384 of 1985 titled as Banwari Lal Versus Smt. Jaikaur etc. passed by Sh. P.P. Chhabra, Senior Sub Judge, Hisar, mutation No. 4753 dated 25.05.1985 entered and sanctioned on the basis of aforesaid alleged decree and mutation No. 276 dated 02.07.2002 are illegal, null and void ab-initio, result of fraud, misrepresentation and not binding on the rights of plaintiff and proforma defendants in any manner and are liable to be set aside C) The plaintiff and proforma defendant are entitled to get their names incorporated as owners in possession of aforesaid land as it was existed prior to aforesaid alleged decree D) And for possession directing the defendants to handover the vacant possession of above land to the plaintiff and proforma defendants forthwith and injunction restraining the defendants from claiming any right whatsoever on the above said land on the basis of above decree and mutation and further from alienating, transferring or encumbering the said land in any manner

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation under order 5 Rule 20 CPC is hereby issued against them and they should appear personally or through their counsel on **05-04-2018** at 9.30 a.m.

Take notice that, in default of their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in their absence.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **12-03-2018**

Tarannum Khan
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Hisar
UID NO HR 0360

SEAL OF THE COURT

Forwarded to the Manager, Daily Chardikalan, Patiala (Punjab) for Publication Expenses Rs. 1200/- + MO Fees deposited in court on 9-2-2018 at Sr. No. 3256.



Tarannum Khan
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Hisar
UIDNO HR 030