

PUBLICATION

COURT OF MS. INDU BALA, ADDL. CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION), KAITHAL

CS No. 157/2018

CIS NO. 1189/2018

CNR No. : HRKH02001639-2018

Date of Instt. 01.08.2018

Next Date : 01.08.2019 at 10:00 AM

State Bank of India, body corporate constituted under the State Bank of India Act, 1955(23 of 1955) and the successor to the State Bank of Patiyala under the Acquisition Of State Bank Of Patiyala, order 2017 passed by the Central Government under section 35 if the State Bank of India Act, 1955 (23 of 1955), having its Central Office at Madan Cama Road, Nariman Point, Mumbai carrying on Bussiness of Banking and having branches at different Places in India including one at Mataur known as State Bank Of India, Mataur Distt. Kaithal through its Branch Manager and Principal Officer Sh. Deepak Arora.

....Plaintiff

Versus

- 1- Dipika Wife of Daya Nand@Daya Singh son of Dalipa
- 2- Rahul(minor) son of Daya Nand@Daya Singh son of Dalipa, Minor defendant no.2/Rahul representing through her mother Dipika wife of Daya Nand@Daya Singh son of Dalipa as natural guardian residents of village Mataur (Rana patti Tehsil Kalayat and Distt. Kaithal.
- 3-Dipika Wife of Daya Nand@Daya Singh son of Dalipa, resident of village Mataur (Rana Patti) Tehsil Kalayat and Distt. Kaithal.

....Defendants

Suit for Recovery of Rs. 3,44,937/- (i.e. Rs.1,80,841/- on Account of Agriculture term loan/ Kissan Gold Card And Rs.1,64,096/- on account of crop loan/Patiyala Bank Kissan Card) including interest calculated upto 31.07.2018 alongwith Pendent Elite and Future interest @ 13.40% Per annum with half yearly rests on agriculture term loan/kissan gold card and interest @13.10%with half yearly rest on crop loan/Patiyala Mortgage property i.e. Agriculture land measuring 20 kanals 16 marlas (i.e. Land Measuring 17 Kanals 6 Marlas situated at village Mataur Tehsil Kalayat Distt. kaithal and land mesuring 3 karnal 10 Marlas situated at village Beed Khadalwa Tehsil Kalayat Distt. Kaithal) under Order 34 C.P.C. and by way sale of hypothecated properties and other properties and assets of defendants.

NOTICE TO:

Whereas in the above noted **Suit for Recovery of Rs.3,44,937/-** it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the **Defendant Nos.1 and 2** above named can not be served through ordinary process, hence this publication, notice is hereby issued to the **Defendant No.1 and 2**, if he/she has any to say in his/her reply he/she may appear in this Court on dated **01.08.2019 at 10:00 AM** in person through Counsel/ through legal agent, failing which an ex-parte proceedings shall be initiated against **Defendant No.1 and 2**.

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court on this 27th May, 2019.

Indu Bala
Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Division),
Kaithal.