

No 26
28-7-2022



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)
IN THE COURT OF Shri Ashutosh
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) ,Sirsa

Surinder Singh
Vs.
State of Haryana

CNR No. HRSI02-001497-2016
CS-902-2016
Next Date:- 16-08-2022

Suit for declaration to the effect that the entry made in the column of remarks in the Jamabandi 2012-2013 and in the other earlier Jamabandis, regarding the Rapat No. 42 dated 11.10.85 regarding alleged attachment of land measuring 48 kanals 02 marlas being 1/3rd share of land measuring 144 kanals 05 marlas comprised in khewat no. 478, situated in village Kangfanpur, tehsil and district Sirsa, in favour of one Mohar Singh son of Bahadar Singh is wrong, illegal against law and facts and the alleged Rapat is not in existence and the entry in this regard has been made in the revenue record due to some clerical mistake or error and hence the entry regarding the alleged Rapat is thus liable to be deleted from the revenue record in respect of the above said land owned and possessed by the plaintiff and other co-owners of this land, and as a consequential relief for injunction restraining the defendants from making further entries in the subsequent record regarding the above -said alleged Rapat and for directing the defendants to delete the entry in the revenue record regarding the above said alleged Rapat no. 42 dated 11.10.85 on the basis of evidence of every kind oral and documentary.



PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

MOHAR SINGH S/o BAHADAR SINGH
R/o VILLAGE KANGANPUR, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT SIRSA

In above titled case, the defendant(s)/respondent(s) could not be served. It is ordered that defendant(s)/respondent(s) should appear in person or through counsel on 16-08-2022 at 10:00 a.m.

For details logon to https://highcourtchd.gov.in/?trs=district_notice&district=Sirsa



Dated this day of 27-07-2022


Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Sirsa

(Not to be published in newspaper)

Forwarded to the Manager, Dainik Chetna, Sirsa, for publication at least 2 weeks before the next date of hearing.

Copy of Publication be sent to the Court of undersigned before the next date of hearing.

You are, also directed to send one set of process/ publication at the residential (above mentioned) address of respondent for the date fixed.




Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Sirsa



COURT NOTICE

IN THE COURT OF Shri Ashutosh
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) ,Sirsa

Surinder Singh
Vs.
State of Haryana

PAYMENT ORDER

To

The Advertisement Manager,
Dainik Chetna, Sirsa.

Receipt No. 279

Amount :- Rs. 2250 /- + M.O 110 /-

Dated_ 26.07.2022

Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Sirsa



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Shri Ashutosh
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Sirsa

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

Surinder Singh

Vs.

State of Haryana

CNR No. HRSI02-001497-2016

Next Date:- 16-08-2022

Suit for declaration to the effect that the entry made in the column of remarks in the jamabandi 2012-2013 and in the other earlier jamabandis, regarding the RapatNo. 42 dated 11.10.85 regarding alleged attachment of land measuring 48 kanals 02 marlas being 1/3rd share of land measuring 144 kanals 05 marlas comprised in khewat no. 478, situated in village kangfanpur, tehsil and district sirsa, in favour of one mohar Singh son of Bahadar Singh is wrong, illegal against law and facts and the alleged Rapat is not in existence and the entry in this regard has been made in the revenue record due to some clerical mistake or error and hence the entry regarding the alleged Rapat is thus liable to be deleted from the revenue record in respect of the above said land owned and possessed by the plaintiff and other co-owners of this land, and as a consequential relief for injunction restraining the defendants from making further entries in the subsequent record regarding the above -said alleged Rapat and for directing the defendants to delete the entry in the revenue record regarding the above said alleged Rapat no. 42 dated 11.10.85 on the basis of evidence of every kind oral and documentary.



PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

MOHAR SINGH

:-BAHADAR SINGH

VILLAGE KANGANPUR, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT SIRSA

To,

The Manager
Dainik Chetna, Sirsa.

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **16-08-2022 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 27-07-2022.

Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Sirsa



AMENDED PLAINT
IN THE COURT OF SH. VIJAY JAMESH, ADDITIONAL CIVIL
JUDGE, SENIOR DIVISION, SIRSA.

Surinder Singh, aged about ___ years, son of late Shri Basan Singh Shri Mangal Singh, resident of village Kanganpur, Tehsil and District Sirsa.

---Plaintiff

Versus

1. The State of Haryana through Collector Sirsa, District Sirsa.
2. Tehsildar (Circle Revenue Officer), Sirsa.
3. Halqa Patwari, Village Kanganpur, Tehsil and District Sirsa.
- ✓ 4. Mohar Singh son of Sh. Bahadar Singh, resident of village Kanganpur, Tehsil and District Sirsa.

----Defendants

Suit for declaration to the effect that the entry made in the column of Remarks in the Jamabandi 2012-2013 and in the other earlier Jamabandis, regarding the Rapat No.42 dated 11.10.85 regarding alleged attachment of land measuring 48 kanals 02 marlas being 1/3rd share of land measuring 144 kanals 05 marlas comprised in khewat No.478, situated in village Kanganpur, Tehsil and District Sirsa, in favour of one Mohar Singh son of Bahadar Singh (defendant no.4), is wrong, illegal, against law and facts and the alleged Rapat is not in existence and the entry



in this regard has been made in the revenue record due to some clerical mistake or error and hence the entry regarding the alleged Rapat is thus liable to be deleted from the revenue record in respect of the above said land owned and possessed by the plaintiff and other co-owners of this land, and as a consequential relief for injunction restraining the defendants from making further entries in the subsequent record regarding the above-said alleged Rapat and for directing the defendants to delete the entry in the revenue record regarding the above said alleged Rapat no.42 dated 11.10.85, on the basis of evidence of every kind--oral and documentary.

--oo--

Respected Sir,

