

For Uploading on Website

**COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)**

**IN THE COURT OF Sunil
Civil Judge (Junior Division) Ellenabad**

**Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>**

HET RAM

Vs.

VIDYA

Suit for - (i) declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of 1/7th share of below mentioned lands-

(a) Land measuring 15 kanals 12 marlas, comprised in Khewat No.306 Khatuni No. 478 Rect. No.83 Killa No.24(8-0) 25(7-12) kittas 2, as per jamabandi for the year 2011-2012, situated in village Sadewala, Tehsil Rania, District Sirsa

(b) Land measuring 14 kanals 3 marlas, comprised in Khewat No.354 Khatuni No. 410, Rect. No.102 Killa No.1(8-0), Rect. No.103 Killa No.5(6-3) Kittas 2, as per jamabandi for the year 2012-2013, situated in village Matuwala, Tehsil Rania, District Sirsa.

(ii) further declaration to the effect that the impugned sale deed No.5479 dated 20.3.2015 registered in the office of Sub Registrar, Rania allegedly executed by defendants No.6 and 7 - Parmeshwari and Rukma through their general attorney Madan Lal-defendant No.5 in favour of the defendant No.8-Savitri and mutation No. 2608 and other revenue records, sanctioned on the basis of the impugned sale deed, are wrong, incorrect, against law and facts, null and void, against mandatory provisions of law and liable to be set aside and quashed in toto

(iii) further declaration to the effect that impugned Mutation No.2569 dated 24.12.2014 duly incorporated by Patwari Halqa of village Sadewala, District Sirsa on the basis of Rapat No.116 dated 19.12.2014 and also impugned Mutation No.1749 dated 24.12.2014 duly incorporated by Patwari Halqa of village Mattuwala, District Sirsa, on the basis of inheritance of Smt. Harkauri since deceased as per Rapat No.110 dated 13.12.2014 with regard to the above said land measuring 15 kanal 12 marlas and 14 kanal 3 marlas in favour of defendants-Vidya Devi-widow, Parhlad-adopted son, Nathu Ram equal share of 1/6th share and Bhup Singh, Prithvi Raj, Madan Lal, Parmeshwari Devi, Rukma Devi equal share of 5/6 share and other subsequent revenue records entered and sanctioned on basis of impugned Mutations are wrong incorrect against law and facts, null and void, mis-representation, active and positive fraud, concealment of facts, inoperative, ineffective and not binding upon the rights of plaintiff and are liable to be set aside and corrected in favour of the plaintiff to the extent of share as stated above

(iv) and as a consequential relief of permanent injunction, restraining the defendants causing interference into the ownership and possession of plaintiff over the suit land in any manner and also from alienating or transferring the aforesaid land to some other person in any manner and also restraining them from creating any bar or lien over the aforesaid land in any manner, whatsoever, on the basis of evidence of every description-oral as well as documentary.

**CNR No. HRSIB0-
000639-2017**

Next Date:- 21-01-2019

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

PARMESHWARI

Spouse :-KRISHAN LAL

**SECTOR 3, NEAR GOVT HOSPITAL, HANUMANGARH TOWN,
HANUMANGARH, RAJASTHAN, HARYANA**

To,

The Manager
DAINIK BHASKAR.

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **21-01-2019 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **19-12-2018**.



**Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Ellenabad**