

9-24-20

AB
53-CA
9-11-16
4-5-18

Humble
IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, SIRSA.

Civil Suit Date of Instt. Date of Dec. Date of appeal
No.

299-C 02-10-2015 10-10-2016 9-11-2016

Value of the suit Value of the appeal Amount of court
For court fee for court fee fee paid

200/- 200/- 25/-

- 1- Ramesh Kumar aged about 53 years son of Shri Krishan Lal son of SHri Bhola Ram
- 2- Rajesh Kumar aged about 51 years son of Shri Krishan Lal son of Shri Bhola Ram both residents of Mandi Kalanwali Tehsil and District Sirsa.

--Appellants/Plaintiffs

VERSUS

- 1- State of Haryana through Collector, Sirsa District Sirsa.
- 2- Director of Panchayat Haryana, Panchkula.
- 3- Block Development and Panchayat officer, Odhan, Tehsil Dabwali District Sirsa.
- 4- Gram Panchayat Village Odhan, Tehsil Dabwali District Sirsa through its Sarpanch.

--Respondents/Defendants

- 5- Pawan Kumar
- 6- Tarsem Kumar sons of Shri Kundan Lal

- 7- Dayawanti wife of Shri Kundan Lal
- 8- Kamal
- 9- Rajni daughters of Shri Kundan Lal all residents of Mandi Kalanwali Tehsil and District Sirsa.

--Proforma Respondents

Appeal against the judgment and decree dated 10-10-2016 passed by the court of Shri Jitender Singh, Civil Judge (Junior Division), Dabwali in the case bearing Civil Suit No.299-C of 2015 titled as "Ramesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others" vide which the court has dismissed the suit filed by the plaintiffs/appellants for mandatory injunction and permanent injunction.

CLAIM IN APPEAL

For setting aside the impugned judgment and decree dated 10-10-2016 passed by the court of Shri Jitender Singh Civil Judge, (Junior Division), Dabwali in the case bearing Civil Suit No.299-C of 2015 and decreeing the suit of the appellants with costs through by accepting the present appeal of the appellants with

No 1046 Dated 21-11-19



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)
IN THE COURT OF Dr. Chander Hass
Additional District and Sessions Judge-I, Sirsa



Ramesh Kumar
Vs.
State of Haryana through Collector

CNR No. HRSI01-005612-2016
CIS No. CA/493/2016
Next Date:- 05-12-2019

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:


Respondent no. 5. Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Kundan Lal R/o Ward no 15, Sangaria, Hanumangarh (Raj.)

Respondent no. 8. Kamal Daughter of Shri Kundan Lal and wife of Sh. Purushottam Dass C/o M/s Kundan Lal Jagan Nath, Punjab Wale Commission Agent, Anaj Mandi, Sangria District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

Respondent no. 9. Rajni Daughter of Shri Kundan Lal and wife of Sh. Ashwani Kumar R/o Barndra Beerar, Bhuna Road, Kula District Fatehabad.

In above titled case, the defendant(s)/respondent(s) could not be served. It is ordered that defendant(s)/respondent(s) should appear in person or through counsel on 05-12-2019 at 10:00 a.m.


For details logon to https://highcourtchd.gov.in/?trs=district_notice&district=Sirsa


(Dr. Chander Hass)
Additional District and Sessions Judge-I
Sirsa

Dated, this day of 21-11-2019

(Not to be published in newspaper)

Forwarded to the Manager, **Dainik Bhaskar, Sirsa**, for publication and necessary compliance. Copy of Publication be sent to the Court of undersigned before the next date of hearing.


(Dr. Chander Hass)
Additional District and Sessions Judge-I
Sirsa

No 1046 Dated 21-11-19

For Uploading on Website



**COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)**

IN THE COURT OF Dr. Chander Hass
Additional District and Sessions Judge-I Sirsa

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>



Ramesh Kumar

Vs.

State of Haryana through Collector

CNR No. HRSI01-005612-2016

CIS No. CA/493/2016

Next Date:- 05-12-2019

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

Respondent no. 5. Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Kundan Lal R/o Ward no 15, Sangaria, Hanumangarh (Raj.)

Respondent no. 8. Kamal Daughter of Shri Kundan Lal and wife of Sh. Purushottam Dass C/o M/s Kundan Lal Jagan Nath, Punjab Wale Commission Agent, Anaj Mandi, Sangria District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

Respondent no. 9. Rajni Daughter of Shri Kundan Lal and wife of Sh. Ashwani Kumar R/o Barndra Beerar, Bhuna Road, Kula District Fatehabad.

To,

The Manager
Dainik Bhaskar, Sirsa.

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20** CPC is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **05-12-2019 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **21-11-2019**.


(Dr. Chander Hass)
Additional District Judge
Sirsa

SIRSA

21-11-19