



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Dharminder Paul Singla
Additional District and Sessions Judge -2 Patiala Patiala

KIDAR NATH SON OF MOHAN LAL R/O WN 3 GHANOUR TEHSIL RAJPURA

Vs.

MEWA RAM (mention detail of all defendant)

Case No. CA/178/2017

CNR No. PBPT01-001663-2017

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

To,

NOTICE TO

7. AMRO D O BALA RAM WIFE OF KRISHAN CHAND VILL GADOLIAN TEH SIRIHND BASSI DISTRICT FATEHGARH SAHIB
8. KAKO D O BALA RAM WIFE OF RAM RATTAN VILL GADOLIAN TEH SIRIHND BASSI DISTRICT FATEHGARH SAHIB
9. MOHINDER SINGH VILL VPO KHUTTA KHERI PRESENTLY H N 68 NEAR GINNI BISCUIT FACTORY DUGRI FATEHANA
9B. MOHAR SINGH VILL VPO KHUTTA KHERI TEHSIL RAJPURA
9C. D. JINDER SINGH MISTRY R O DEFENCE COLONY NEAR SHAMSHAN GHAT OLD RAJPURA
9D. MOHINDER SINGH MISTRY R O DEFENCE COLONY NEAR SHAMSHAN GHAT OLD RAJPURA
9E. MOHINDER KAUR WIFE OF AMAR SINGH H N 82 GATE NO 4 DASHMESH COLONY NEAR SHAMSHAN GHAT BLOCK B GURDWARH
1. A. NAGHAR SINGH MISTRI (HUSBAND) SON OF MEHAR SINGH VILL GHANOUR -RAJPUTAN PO KAMALPUR TEHSIL SUNAM
2. JARNAL KAUR D O NANAK RAM W/O GURDIAL RAM R/O MUMIODI TEHSIL JAGADHARI (HARYANA)
24. MOHINDRO D O NANAK RAM WIFE OF KARNAIL SINGH R/O JANDALI TEHSIL AMBALA (HARYANA)
2. HARINDER KUMAR SON OF RAM LAL W N 3 GHANOUR TEHSIL RAJPURA
23. GULSHAN KUMAR S O RAM LAL S O RAM LUBAYA W N 4 GHANOUR TEHSIL RAJPURA
3. J. D. K. K. K. WIFE OF PAWAN KUMAR KOLHARI (LATELY WALL DUKAN) RAILWAY ROAD BAZAR NEAR KASHMIRI EMBROIDERY ROAD SUNAM
3. ASHAR D O RAM LAL W O SHUBAS KUMAR PROP. SUBHAS DJ SHOP VPO RAIWALI BIA BARWALA DISTRICT AMBALA
36(A) ANITA WIFE OF SOM NATH H N 182 SENIOR CITIZEN VIHAR PUDA COMPLEX NEAR GOLF RANGE SECTOR 48-C SAS NAGAR
36(B) VAKRITH D O SOM NATH H N 182 SENIOR CITIZEN VIHAR PUDA COMPLEX NEAR GOLF RANGE SECTOR 48-C SAS NAGAR
3. DARSHNI MEYS HARJEE KAUR WIFE OF MASTER SHAMSHER SINGH VPO SIHALA TEHSIL SAMRALA
38. PUSHPA DEVI WIFE OF LABH SINGH RESIDENT OF H N 333 POWER COLONY ROPAR

To,

The Manager

DAILY CHANDIKALA

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s) respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **29-08-2018 at 10 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 05-07-2018

Additional District Judge
Patiala