



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Ishwar Dutt
Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Fatehabad

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

Nirmala Devi wife of Randhir Singh son of Hardwari

Vs.

Smt. Veena Kumari wife of Subhash Chander Son of Banarsi Dass

CNR No. HRFT02-000024-2017

Next Date:- 26-11-2019

Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff is owner in possession of land measuring 10 marle measuring 15 karam x 6 Karam bearing Kh. NO. 127//16/1 min (0-10) bounded as in east shop of Smt. Santosh Rani wd/o Narayan Dass, in west shop of Dalip Bishnoi Mistri, in south Bhuna Road Fatehabad, in north open street, which is shown as mark-ABCD in attached site plan situated at Basti Bhiwan Tehsil and Distt. Fatehabad vide sale deed no. 3408 dated 07.01.1981, of which mutation was incorporated at Sr. no. 4067 on 30.10.1981 in the name of plaintiff, after this Smt. Parkash Kaur defendant no. 22 suffered a consent decree dated 23.05.1988 in favour of her husband Gurusharan Singh defendant no. 9 in Civil suit no. 282 of 1988 titled as Gurusharam Singh Vs. Smt. Parkash Kaur passed by Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Additional Senior Sub Judge, Fatehabad by ignoring the aforesaid sale deed no. 3408 dated 07.01.1981 in favour of plaintiff, this decree and judgment dated 23.05.1988 is not binding on the rights of the plaintiff and the same is liable to be set aside qua the land purchased by the plaintiff (herein after called as suit land) and the subsequent transaction and the resultant mutations mentioned in schedule in para no. 3 of the plaint made on behalf of defendant no. 9 and subsequent transferees are liable to be treated as sale / transfer of land out of 127//16 min (3-7) instead of out of 127//16 min (3-17) and to this extent the revenue entries i.e. jamabandi for the year 1988-89 and mutations as mentioned in para no. 3 of the plaint regarding entire subsequent transactions are liable to be amended to this extent, by way of declaration that the above said all transactions mentioned in para no. 3 of the plaint are not binding on the rights of plaintiff qua the land purchased by the plaintiff as mentioned above. Further suit for

permanent injunction to the effect that the defendants be restrained from alienating the land purchased by the plaintiff on the basis of wrong revenue record in any manner or creating charge over it. On the basis of evidence, oral and documentary of every description.

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

**Def. No. 10 Kuldeep Def. No. 11 Sandeep
Ss/o rajender s/o Sheo Nath s/o Mani Ram Rs/o Village Bhothan Khurd, Tehsil and
District Fatehabad**

To,

The Manager
The Tribune
Chandigarh

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **26-11-2019 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **18-10-2019**.


Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Fatehabad 