

REMINDER

398
28/4/2024



COURT NOTICE (U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Rakesh Kumar
Civil Judge (Junior Division), Hansi

Madhu Jain aged 64 year Widow of late Sudesh Kumar R/o 6477 Street No. 2 Block No. 8
Dev Nagar Karol Bagh Central Delhi 110005 & others

Vs.

Smt. Surender @ Sindhu Wd/o late Parveen Kumar S/o late Harish Chander residents of G-
35 Hround second and third floor Phushkar Enclave paschim vihar West Delhi and others

CNR No. HRHSA0-000467-2023

Next Date:- 31-05-2024

Suit for declaration to the effect that Judgment and Decree dated 17.03.1989 of Civil Suit No. 114-C of 1989 titled as Parveen Kumar etc. Versus Harish Chander passed by Shri R.K. Khangwal, the then Sub Judge 1st Class, Hansi, is illegal, against law and facts, nonest, nullity, void abinitio, based on fraud, misrepresentation, arbitrary, merely a paper transaction and not binding on the rights of the plaintiffs and the same is liable to be set-aside and the property which is subject matter of Civil Suit 114-C of 1989 is still undivided. Further, all other consequent documents including mutation no. 2940 allegedly sanctioned on 17.04.1989 on the basis of abovementioned Judgement and Decree dated 17.03.1989, is/are also illegal, against law and facts, nonest, nullity, void abinitio, merely a paper transaction and not binding on the rights of plaintiffs and the same is/are also liable to be set-aside upto the extent of specific bifurcation/carving out of the specific portion of abovesaid khasra number in five parts as 1059//1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, on the basis of abovesaid illegal and nonest Judgment and Decree dated 17.03.1989. Further revenue records, which has/have been prepared after sanctioning of the abovesaid illegal and nonest mutation number 2940, is/are also liable to be corrected in previous position which was existed before passing of abovesaid nonest Judgment and Decree dated 17.03.1989. Further, if any other Judgements and Decrees passed on the basis of wrong, illegal, nonest and null and void Judgment and Decree dated 17.03.1989 and revenue record in respect of khasra number 1059, is / are also illegal, against law and facts, nullity, nonest, void abinitio and not binding on the rights of plaintiffs and the same is also liable to be set-aside upto the extent of specific bifurcation of abovesaid khasra no. 1059 Further suit for prohibitory injunction to the effect that the defendant no. 1 to 6 be restrained from alienating or creating charge of others or raising any construction on specific part of khasra no. 1059//2,3,4,5, on the basis of wrong revenue record or by taking the benefit of wrong revenue record, forever. Further defendant no. 7 be restrained from issuing any NOC or changing any record in the register of House Tax or sanctioning any site plan regarding khasra no. 1059/2,3,4,5, on the basis of wrong revenue record, forever, on the basis of evidence oral as well as documentary.

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

No. 4 Manju Jain Wd/o:-Late Sanjeev Kumar son of late Harish Chander No. 5
Yashovardhan Jain son of Late Sanjeev kumar son of Late Harish Chander No. 6
Ridhi Jain D/o Sh. Sanjeev Kumar son of Harish Chander S/o Durga Dutt all
residents of G-35 Hround second and third floor Phushkar Enclave paschim vihar
West Delhi

In above titled case, the defendant(s)/respondent(s) could not be served. It is ordered that defendant(s)/respondent(s) should appear in person or through counsel on 31-05-2024 at 10:00 a.m.

For details logon to https://highcourtchd.gov.in/?trs=district_notice&district=Hisar

DM No. 6 Dt. 02.04.2024 Rs.
2800+MO fees

Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Hansi

(Published in newspaper)

Forwarded to the Manager, Dainik Bhaskar, for publication and necessary compliance.
Copy of Publication be sent to the Court of undersigned before the next date of hearing.

Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Hansi