



**COURT NOTICE**  
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Sohan Lal Malik  
Civil Judge (Junior Division) Pehowa

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other  
case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

**Charanjeet Singh**  
Vs.  
**Ramandeep Singh**

It is therefore prayed that a decree for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff has disowned and debarred the defendants no. 1 and 2 from his movable and immovable properties including the properties mentioned in para no 1 plaint above w.e.f. 25.02.2020 and for declaration that the defendants no. 1 and 2 have no rights, title or interest or claim over the movable and immovable properties of the plaintiff in any manner and for declaration that the plaintiff is not responsible for any misdeeds and misacts of the defendants no. 1 and 2 and not responsible for any dealings of def. no 1 and 2 with the def. no. 3 in any manner and not liable to pay any loan etc. taken by def. no. 1 and 2 from def. 3 in any manner with permanent injunction restraining the def. no. 1 and 2 from claiming any rights, title in the movable and immovable properties of the plaintiff including the properties mentioned in para no. 1 of the plaint from selling, alienating, mortgaging, leasing an part of the suit property mentioned in para no. 1 of plaint and harassing the plaintiff mentally and physically themselves, through the police, their relative, friends, agents and others strangers in this regard and def. no. 1 and 2 be also restrained from raising any kind of further construction in the suit property mentioned in para no. 1 of plaint above in any manner and def. no. 1 and 2 many also be restrained from entering in the house of the plaintiff mentioned in para no 1(i) of the plaint in any manner may kindly be passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants with costs.

CNR No. HRKUA0-  
000301-2020  
Next Date:- 17-12-2020

**PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:**

**General Public**

To,

The Manager  
Dainik Chetna  
Bhiwani.

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence,