

50  
5/8/24

Form No. 77

For Uploading on Website



**COURT NOTICE**  
**(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)**

**IN THE COURT OF Sh. Rakesh Kadian**  
**Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Sirsa**

**Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other**  
**case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>**

**DARA SINGH**

**Vs.**

**BALDEV SINGH S/O SH. MAHINDER SINGH S/O SH. PARTAP SINGH**

**CS/1018/2018**

**CNR No. HRSI02-001554-2018**

**Next Date:- 20-08-2024**

Suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiffs are owners in possession in equal share of following shares of lands A) Land measuring 3 kanals 008 marlas being 68/2435 share out of total land measuring 121 kanals 15 marlas comprised in khewat no 535 khatauni no 750 to 752 number of kitas 19 B) Land measuring 12 kanals 14 marlas being 254/2312 share out of total land measuring 115 kanals 12 marlas comprised in khewat no 536 khatauni no 753 to 757 number of kitas both lands as per jamabandi for the year of 2007-2008 situated in village Mellekan Sirsa being real sons of the proforma defendant and the defendant no 1 has no right, title or interest in the above said land and the judgment and decree dated 17.05.2010 passed by Sh G.L Goyal Presiding Judge Permanent and continuous Lok Adalat (Samjohouta Sadan) Sirsa in civil Suit no 26-C of the court of Sh Sudhir Parmar the then Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) Sirsa titled as Baldev Singh Vs Parkash Kaur regarding the suit land vide which the defendant no 1 has been declared owner in possession of the above said land are wrong against law and facts null and void result of misrepresentation concealment of true facts from proforma defendant confer no title upon the defendant no 1 not binding upon the rights of the plaintiffs and are liable to be set aside and the mutation no 5978 dated 31.08.2010 entered and sanctioned on the basis of the above said judgment and decree is also wrong against law and facts and is liable to be set aside automatically by setting aside the above said judgment and decree and the revenue records based on it are also liable to be corrected accordingly in favour of the plaintiffs and the sale deed no 2976 dated 05.07.2012 regarding land measuring 1 kanals 15 marlas being 35/2239 shareout of total land measuring 111 kanals 19 marlas comprised in khewat no 536 in favour of defendant no 2 to the extent of 9/2239 share defendant no 3 to the extent of 9/2239 share defendant no 4 to the extent of 10/2239 share defendant no 5 to the extent of 7/2239 share allegedly executed by the defendant no 1 in favor of the defendants no 2 to 5 and the sale deed no 7250 dated 22.12.2014 regarding the land measuring 8 kanals 08 marlas being 3/40 share out of total land measuring 112 kanals 00 marlas comprised in khewat no 574 khatauni no 785 to 789 share number of kitas 20 as per jamabandi for the year of 2012-2013 in favor of the defendant no 6 allegedly executed by the defendant no 1 in her favour are wrong against law and facts without right and without authority, null and void not binding upon the rights of the plaintiffs sham transaction without sale consideration only executed by the defendant no 1 in order to debar the plaintiffs from the valuable rights in the suit land and are liable to be set aside or ignored and the subsequent mutations entered and sanctioned on the basis of the above said sale deeds are also wrong and liable to be set aside and the revenue records based on it are liable to be corrected accordingly in favour of the plaintiffs and as a consequential relief of permanent injunction restraining the defendants no 1 to 6 from alienating/transferring or creating any charge over the suit land in favour of any third person/Bank or any other Financial institution on the basis of evidence of every description oral and documentary.

**PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:**

**2(II) BALA DEVI D/O SMT. MUNNI DEVI,**  
**R/O VILLAGE MALEKKAN, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT SIRSA.**

To,

The Manager

Bharat Desh Hamara

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **20-08-2024 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **05-08-2024**.



**Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)**  
**Sirsa**