



COURT NOTICE
(U/o 5 Rule 20 CPC)

IN THE COURT OF Ms. Ravneet Kaur Bedi
Civil Judge (Junior Division) Pathankot

Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on <http://ecourts.gov.in>

Raj Kumar
Vs.
Veer Singh

A. Suit for specific performance of the agreement of sale deed dated 13.09.2013 executed by the defendants Sh. Rasal Singh, Vidhya Devi and Bishno Devi now deceased survived by their LRs in favour of the plaintiff for the sale of land measuring 3.5 marlas (980 Sq feet) forming part of land bearing Khewat No. 153, Khatoni No. 252 and Khewat No. 154 Khatauni No. 254, having Khasra No. 225(0-5) now Khewat No. 162/156, Khatauni No 258 and Khasra No. 129 (0-10) now Khewat No. 163/157, Khatauni No. 259 of Village Madhopur Cantonment HB No. 359 as entered into the Jamabandi for the year for the total sale consideration amount of Rs 4,19,000/- as total amount and out of which the amount of Rs 3,20,000/- has already been received by the defendants as earnest/advance money on dated 13.09.2013 amounting Rs. 1,20,000/- and further received on dated 26.02.2015 amounting Rs 2,00,000/- and for possession of the land. AND in the alternative suit for recovery of Rupees 3,20,000/- by way of land refund of the advance money paid Rs. 3,20,000/- and Rs. 3,20,000/- as stipulated damages along with interest 18 percent per annum and for future interest till realization of the decree money.

B. Suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant, his agents, and assignees, permanently from alienating in any manner, creating charge and changing the nature of the suit land as fully detailed and described in the Clause A of the head note of the plaint.



CS/1141/2024

CNR No. PBPO02-001653-2024

Next Date:- 09-09-2025

PUBLICATION ISSUED TO:

Defendant No. -
7. Swarn Lata
Mother : :-Vidya Devi

R/o Ward No. 4, Kathua (J and K). Alternative Address - At present R/o Village Bhudhi Near Talab, PO Barnoti Tehsil and District Kathua.

To,

The Manager
The Times of India.

Whereas it has been proved to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant(s)/respondent(s) above named cannot be served in the ordinary way of service. Hence, this proclamation **under order 5 Rule 20 CPC** is hereby issued against him/them and should appear personally or through their counsel on **09-09-2025 at 10:00 a.m.**

Take notice that, in default of his/their appearance on the day before mentioned, the above said case will be heard and determined in his/their absence according to law.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this **20-08-2025**.




Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Pathankot 